

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,784	03/28/2001	Michael Kriege	APL1P210/P2621	5504	
22434	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			NGO, HI	NGO, HUNG V	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DERRELEI,	CA 94/04-07/8		2831		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	OK			
Advisory Action	09/821,784	KRIEGE ET AL.				
, wanted, y newen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung V Ngo	2831				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08-02-04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension						
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	i better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 5-9,12-16,18-20,22,24-31,58,63-71 and 73 would be allowable if submitted in a						
separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were	e newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5-9,12-16,18-20,22,24-31,58,63-71</u>	and 73.					
Claim(s) objected to: 72.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 74</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			:			
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Rudy discloses a computer device (col 2, lines 48-52)(col 1, lines 56-60).

Ho VNG

HUNG V. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER